

# Instructions for Self-assessment of Class Discussion!!

The instructions below provoke students to review a digital transcript of a class discussion in order to make and support claims about the quality of their contributions during the collaborative discourse.

Please note that a minimally satisfactory response to the instructions would take the form of a single paragraph presenting a complete tenable argument (claim + textual evidence + reasoning).

Similar instructions will require students to assess their contributions to an oral/auditory discussion, but there will be a lesser requirement for textual evidence.

---

Greetings!!

Before leaving class today, please send me an e-mail in which you follow ALL of these instructions. I will dismiss you individually as you complete the assignment.

Thanks-  
Mr Leo

## Instructions for Assessing Your Own Contributions to the Transcript of Today's Discussion

Please write one (1) brief **PARAGRAPH** in which you offer all these parts:

- 1. CLAIM:** Offer a general C-->E **claim** about the quality of your contributions to the discussion transcript
- 2. EVIDENCE:** Support your claim by quoting **evidence** from a minimum of two (2) contributions to the transcript
- 3. REASONING:** Analyze your evidence from your posts. In your **reasoning** consider:
  - a. The degree to which you followed the instructions
  - b. The way in which your contributions moved the discussion forward or did not
  - c. Whether these examples from the transcript are representative of your work for the day.
- 4. SUGGESTION:** Offer a **suggestion** about how you can improve your participation next time we hold discussions in this format.
- 5. EVALUATION:** Suggest an **overall assessment** for your participation during the entire discussion. You must use only these marks:

**"Excellent"**: participated often enough to reveal serious and wide-ranging consideration of both the primary text and the contributions of other participants,

AND

followed instructions every time in crafting contributions and identifying their type(s)

AND

participated in a thoughtful, respectful tone

**"Very Good"**: met the "excellent" standards frequently, but not always

**"Good"**: met the "excellent" standards less frequently

**"Insufficient"**: did not meet a minimum expectation for participation